Honor Violation Process

Expedited Hearing
Should the Accused plead responsible to the charges at the preliminary hearing, the Chair and two members of the Councils will vote on sanctions.

Full Board Hearing
Should the Accused not accept responsibility for the charges, the Chair, Secretary, Liaison, and six voting members will hold a hearing to determine responsibility and, if applicable, sanctions.

Insufficient Evidence
Should the Chair and Dean find the evidence insufficient, the case is either dismissed or sent back for further investigation before a charge is determined.

Preliminary Hearing
The Chair reviews the evidence and recommends the charge. A Dean approves the charge, and the Chair meets with the Accused to ask their plea.

Simultaneous

Report
Suspected violation is reported either by contacting one of the chairs, a Dean, or through the online form.

Investigation
An investigator from the Honor Councils is assigned and meets with the reporting party to gather evidence and an affidavit. Professors are asked to provide a grade sanction. All evidence is compiled into a report.

Notification
The Secretary notifies the Accused that a case has been opened and allows them to strike any member of the Honor Councils from their case.

Liaison Assignment
A Liaison is assigned to the case who serves as a representative for the Accused and answers their questions about the process.

Evidentiary Meeting
The Accused reviews the evidence against them including the professor’s affidavit and grade sanction and writes their own affidavit.